

**DISABILITY RIGHTS AND
RESOURCES, AND EILEEN
TEACHOUT-SMITH,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILLIAM H. CARLISLE,

Defendant.


V.

The Court finds that Plaintiffs’ filing of the Amended Complaint rendered Plaintiffs’ Motion for Default Judgment moot.¹ See Rock v. Am. Express Travel Related Servs. Co., No. 08-cv-101909, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 101909, at *5 n.3 (N.D.N.Y. Dec. 17, 2008) (citing various cases).

¹ In Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Continuing Hearing, Plaintiffs stated that the Amended Complaint appeared to moot Plaintiffs' Motion for Default Judgment. (Doc. No. 26 at 2).

DENIED as moot.

Signed: January 21, 2009


Robert J. Conrad, Jr.
Chief United States District Judge

